

Subject: Re: Surface type for CRTM

From: Ronald.Vogel@noaa.gov

Date: Mon, 10 Aug 2009 18:23:52 -0400

To: Michiko.Masutani@noaa.gov

CC: Quanhua Liu <Quanhua.Liu@noaa.gov>, Yong Han <Yong.Han@noaa.gov>, Paul van Delst <Paul.Vandelst@noaa.gov>, David Groff <David.Groff@noaa.gov>, Tom Kleespies <Thomas.J.Kleespies@noaa.gov>, Jack Woollen <Jack.Woollen@noaa.gov>

----- Original Message -----

From: Michiko Masutani <Michiko.Masutani@noaa.gov>

Date: Monday, August 10, 2009 4:44 pm

Subject: Surface type for CRTM

Attached is the information about CRTM surface type I gathered from Ron Vogel and Banghua. We still have to work out fresh snow and old snow.

Since the snow depth threshold is so small, it may be best to assume a surface with any snow should be treated as snow in CRTM. That is the GSI approach. NOTE: this refers to the %coverage value you input for d:

Surface(iprof)%Water_Coverage = a

Surface(iprof)%Land_Coverage = b

Surface(iprof)%Ice_Coverage = c

Surface(iprof)%Snow_Coverage = d

I.e., snow depth is not an input for IR RT calculations. You only need snow depth to decide if your grid cell is snow-covered or not.

It seem there is only one ice. So if sea ice is covered by snow is still treated as ice. Is this correct?

We haven't done detailed analysis on the radiative transfer through snow and ice. For now, it is fine to assume a snow surface when ice is snow-covered.

Any comments appreciated.

Michiko

--

Michiko Masutani Ph.D. (Wyle Information Systems)

michiko.masutani@noaa.gov,

Office:Rm 807 Tel. (301)763-8000x7551 Cell: (240)413-6727

NOAA/NWS/NCEP/EMC 5200 Auth Rd. Rm 207 Camp Springs MD 20746

Fax: (301)763-8545

<http://www.emc.ncep.noaa.gov/research/JointOSSEs>

<http://www.emc.ncep.noaa.gov/seminars>